Thank you Mr Chair

I’m speaking on behalf of Climate Action Network.

Parties are reminded that the KP architecture has a number of vitally important elements has taken years of careful negotiations to build. It gives space for a top-down science-based approach, economy-wide targets for developed countries, and a well-developed, functioning MRV and compliance system. As such, a KP second commitment period is an essential part of a wider legal form and architectural package to be agreed in Durban.

Careful consideration is needed on CDM access for Parties which do not intend to ratify the second commitment period. In principle, the CDM is a flexible mechanism to achieve Kyoto Protocol targets but denying access should not lead to increasing use of less stringent offsetting systems.

On LULUCF, we cannot accept a set of rules that allow Parties to falsely represent their economy-wide ambition, offsetting real emissions with credits that do not actually reduce greenhouse gases. Annex 1 Parties must instead take advantage of the considerable mitigation potential offered by their land and forests to deliver genuine and substantial emissions reductions using a single common policy approach.

Thank you Mr Chair